

Assignment 11

Option pricing in Heston model

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{Q})$ be a filtered probability space, carrying two independent Brownian motions B and W , adapted to \mathbb{F} . We will assume directly and for simplicity that \mathbb{Q} is a risk-neutral measure. In Heston stochastic volatility model, the financial market consists of two assets. First a non-risky one whose price S^0 satisfies $S_t^0 := e^{rt}$, $t \geq 0$, where the short rate $r \geq 0$ is a fixed constant, and a risky asset, whose price S has dynamics given by

$$\begin{cases} S_t = S_0 + \int_0^t r S_s ds + \int_0^t S_s \sqrt{v_s} dB_s, & t \geq 0, \\ v_t = v_0 + \int_0^t b(\theta - v_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma \sqrt{v_s} (\rho dB_s + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dW_s), \end{cases}$$

where the parameters b , θ and σ are positive, and where $\rho \in [-1, 1]$ is the correlation coefficient between S and v . We emphasise that the above equation has a unique solution which defines then meaningfully both S and v .

- 1) We define, for any $t \geq 0$, $X_t := \log(S_t)$. Prove that

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \left(r - \frac{1}{2} v_s \right) ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{v_s} dB_s, \quad t \geq 0.$$

- 2) Fix some time horizon $T \geq 0$. For any $0 \leq t \leq T$, we define now the conditional characteristic function of X_T given \mathcal{F}_t , for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$, by

$$\psi_t(u, T) := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{iuX_T} | \mathcal{F}_t],$$

where we recall that $i \in \mathbb{C}$ is the complex number such that $i^2 = -1$. Explain why $\psi_t(u, T)$ is actually a function of t , X_t and v_t , that is to say that we can write

$$\psi_t(u, T) = \Psi(t, X_t, v_t, u, T),$$

for some function $\Psi : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

- 3) We assume that the function $\Psi(t, x, v, u, T)$ is smooth in its first 3 variables. Define now the following 2-dimensional processes

$$V_t := \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and } \mathcal{B}_t := \begin{pmatrix} B_t \\ W_t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that \mathcal{B} is then a 2-dimensional Brownian motion. Verify that we can write

$$V_t = V_0 + \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} r - \frac{1}{2} V_s^2 \\ b(\theta - V_s^2) \end{pmatrix} ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{V_s^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \sigma \rho & \sigma \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \end{pmatrix} d\mathcal{B}_s, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, V^i denotes the i th component of the vector V .

- 4) Using Itô's formula, prove that for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} d\Psi(t, X_t, v_t, u, T) &= \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}(t, X_t, v_t, u, T) + \left(r - \frac{1}{2} v_t \right) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}(t, X_t, v_t, u, T) + b(\theta - v_t) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial v}(t, X_t, v_t, u, T) \right) dt \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2} v_t \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2}(t, X_t, v_t, u, T) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} v_t \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial v^2}(t, X_t, v_t, u, T) + \sigma \rho v_t \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x \partial v}(t, X_t, v_t, u) \right) dt \\ &+ \sqrt{v_t} \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}(t, X_t, v_t, u, T) + \sigma \rho \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial v}(t, X_t, v_t, u, T) \right) dB_t \\ &+ \sigma \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sqrt{v_t} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial v}(t, X_t, v_t, u, T) dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

5) Prove that, for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\Psi(t, X_t, v_t, u, T))_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is an (\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Q}) -martingale.

6) Deduce that for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$, the function Ψ must satisfy the partial differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}(t, x, v, u, T) + \left(r - \frac{1}{2}v\right) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}(t, x, v, u, T) + b(\theta - v) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial v}(t, x, v, u, T) + \frac{1}{2}v \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2}(t, x, v, u, T) \\ + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}v \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial v^2}(t, x, v, u, T) + \sigma \rho v \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x \partial v}(t, x, v, u, T) = 0, \quad (t, x, v) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ \Psi(T, x, v, u, T) = e^{iux}. \end{cases}$$

7) We are now looking for a solution of the previous PDE of the form

$$\Psi(t, x, v, u, T) = \exp(iux + A(u, t, T) + B(u, t, T)v),$$

where the maps $A, B : \mathbb{R} \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are our new unknowns. Prove that such a function solves the PDE if and only A and B satisfy the system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}(u, t, T) + riu + b\theta B(u, t, T) = 0, \text{ for } t \in [0, T), \quad A(u, T, T) = 0, & (1) \\ \frac{\partial B}{\partial t}(u, t, T) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}B^2(u, t, T) + (\sigma \rho iu - b)B(u, t, T) - \frac{u}{2}(u + i) = 0, \text{ for } t \in [0, T), \quad B(u, T, T) = 0. & (2) \end{cases}$$

8) Fix a complex number $\alpha(u, T)$ such that¹

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\alpha^2(u, T) + (\sigma \rho iu - b)\alpha(u, T) - \frac{u}{2}(u + i) = 0.$$

Check that any function of the form

$$\frac{1}{C(u, t, T)} + \alpha(u, T),$$

solves Equation (2) if and only if the function C solves the equation

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}(u, t, T) = (\sigma \rho iu - b + \sigma^2\alpha(u, T))C(u, t, T) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}, \text{ for } t \in [0, T), \quad C(u, T, T) = -\frac{1}{\alpha(u, T)}. \quad (3)$$

9) Check that the solution to Equation (3) is given by

$$C(u, t, T) = \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2\kappa(u, T)} - \frac{1}{\alpha(u, T)} \right) e^{-\kappa(u, T)(T-t)} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\kappa(u, T)}, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where

$$\kappa(u, T) := \sigma \rho iu - b + \sigma^2\alpha(u, T),$$

and deduce that

$$B(u, t, T) = \alpha(u, T) + \frac{2\kappa(u, T)\alpha(u, T)e^{\kappa(u, T)(T-t)}}{\sigma^2\alpha(u, T) - 2\kappa(u, T) - \sigma^2\alpha(u, T)e^{\kappa(u, T)(T-t)}}.$$

10) Deduce finally that

$$A(u, t, T) = (riu + b\theta\alpha(u, T))(T - t) + \frac{2b\theta}{\sigma^2} \log \left(\frac{\sigma^2\alpha(u, T) - 2\kappa(u, T) - \sigma^2\alpha(u, T)}{\sigma^2\alpha(u, T) - 2\kappa(u, T) - \sigma^2\alpha(u, T)e^{\kappa(u, T)(T-t)}} \right),$$

where you should admit that the logarithm function \log can be meaningfully extended to complex numbers.

¹Notice that there are in general two such numbers $\alpha(u, T)$ given by

$$\alpha(u, T) = \frac{b - \sigma \rho iu \pm \sqrt{(\sigma \rho iu - b)^2 + u(u + i)\sigma^2}}{\sigma^2},$$

where the square-root of a complex number $x \in \mathbb{C}$ should be interpreted as any $y \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $y^2 = x$. However, we will not use the explicit value given above in the rest of the exercise.

Indifference pricing in a stochastic volatility model

We fix a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$, and consider a financial market in continuous-time, with one non-risky asset whose price S^0 is normalised to 1, and a risky asset with price process S defined by

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = \sigma(Y_t)(\lambda(Y_t)dt + dW_t^1), \quad (0.1)$$

where Y is an exogenous factor whose dynamics is given by

$$dY_t = \eta(Y_t)dt + \gamma(Y_t)(\rho dW_t^1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}dW_t^2). \quad (0.2)$$

Here, $W := (W^1, W^2)^\top$ is a 2-dimensional (\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P}) -Brownian motion, ρ is a constant in $(-1, 1)$. The coefficients $\eta(y)$, $\gamma(y)$, $s\lambda(y)$, $s\sigma(y)$ are assumed to be such that the system of SDEs (0.1)–(0.2) has a unique strong solution. We will assume in addition that $\lambda(\cdot)$, $\sigma(\cdot)$ are bounded and that $\inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \{\sigma(y)^2 + \gamma^2(y)\} > 0$. We also assume that \mathbb{F} is the \mathbb{P} -completed natural filtration of W .

We denote by \mathcal{A} the admissible self-financing strategies, that is to say all the $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}^1(S, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that $X^{0, \Delta}$ remains bounded from below (recall here that S^0 is constant equal to 1). We consider a European option written on the non-tradable asset Y

$$G := g(Y_T), \text{ where } g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is continuous and bounded.}$$

We define the optimal investment problem

$$V^g(0, x, Y_0) := \sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}^\mathbb{P} \left[U(X_T^{x, \theta} - g(Y_T)) \right], \text{ where } U(x) := -e^{-ax}, a > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The objective is to find an explicit expression for

$$p(0, x, y) := \inf \{ p \in \mathbb{R} : V^g(0, x + p, y) \geq V^0(0, x, y) \}.$$

In the rest of the exercise, we extend all the above notations to the case where the time origin is some $t \geq 0$ instead of just 0, and introduce $V^g(t, x, y)$ and $p(t, x, y)$. Besides, we will assume that the map V^g is $C^{1,2}$ on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, and continuous on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, for all maps g as above.

- 1) Give an interpretation of p .
- 2) Show that there exists a positive map $F^g(t, y)$ such that

$$V^g(t, x, y) = -e^{-ax} F^g(t, y), \text{ for all } t \in [0, T], (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

and deduce that

$$p(t, x, y) = p(t, y) = \frac{1}{a} \log \left(\frac{F^g(t, y)}{F^0(t, y)} \right), (t, x, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2.$$

- 3) We admit that the map $V^g(t, x, y)$ is a smooth solution to the PDE

$$\inf_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \{ -\mathcal{L}^\theta V^g(t, x, y) \} = 0, (t, x, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2, V^g(T, x, y) = U(x - g(y)), (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}^\theta V^g := \partial_t V^g + \eta \partial_y V^g + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 \partial_{yy} V^g + \lambda \sigma \theta \partial_x V^g + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \theta^2 \partial_{xx} V^g + \theta \rho \sigma \gamma \partial_{xy} V^g.$$

Show that $F^g(t, y)$ satisfies

$$\partial_t F^g + \eta \partial_y F^g + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 \partial_{yy} F^g - \frac{(\lambda F^g + \rho \gamma \partial_y F^g)^2}{2F^g} = 0, (t, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, F^g(T, y) = e^{ag(y)}, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- 4) Show that there exists $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the map $f^g(t, y) := (F^g(t, y))^{1/\delta}$ solves a linear PDE.

5) Deduce that

$$f^g(t, y) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[e^{(1-\rho^2)(ag(Y_T^{t,y}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \lambda^2(Y_u^{t,y}) du)} \right],$$

where \mathbb{Q} is a probability measure equivalent to \mathbb{P} with density

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} := \exp \left(-\rho \int_t^T \lambda(Y_s^{t,y}) (\rho dW_s^1 + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} dW_s^2) - \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \int_t^T \lambda(Y_s^{t,y})^2 ds \right).$$

6) Introduce another measure $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ equivalent to \mathbb{P} so as to write

$$p(t, y) = \frac{1}{a(1-\rho^2)} \log \left(\mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}} [e^{a(1-\rho^2)g(Y_T^{t,y})}] \right).$$